



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/586,347

07/14/2006

Mats Hedman

1509-1065

2792

466

7590

07/29/2009

YOUNG & THOMPSON

209 Madison Street

Suite 500

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

VILAKAZI, SIZO BINDA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3747

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/29/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/586,347	Applicant(s) HEDMAN, MATS	
	Examiner SIZO B. VILAKAZI	Art Unit 3747	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 April 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2-5, 8-10, 12-14, 17 and 18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2-5, 8-10, 12-14, 17, and 18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claim 2-5, 10, 12-14, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jepsen (US Patent 4,322,950).

3. In re Claims 2-5, 10, 13, 14, and 18, Jepsen discloses a method of compressing a medium in the combustion chamber of a combustion engine, comprising introducing a liquid, in the state of a spray, into the compression chamber during a compression stroke, and the liquid is pressurized and heated before it is introduced into the compression chamber to such a degree that at least a part of the droplets of the spray explode spontaneously upon entrance in the compression chamber:

a. the liquid being pressurized to such an extent that, at the moment of introduction, it has a steam pressure that is above the pressure that, at the moment of introduction, exists in the compression chamber (Column 2, Lines 50-67), and

b. the liquid being heated to such an extent that, at the moment of introduction, it has a temperature that exceeds the boiling point of the liquid for

Art Unit: 3747

the temperature and the pressure that, at the moment of introduction, exists in the compression chamber (Column 2, Lines 50-67), and

c. the liquid being water, wherein the liquid is heated to such an extent that, at the moment of introduction it has a temperature that is below the temperature of the medium at the moment of introduction of the liquid (Column 2, Lines 50-67).

d. the medium being air

4. In re Claim 12, Jepsen discloses a compressor with a system for controlling a device for the compression of a medium in the a compression chamber of the compressor, by which a liquid, in the state of a spray, is introduced into the compression chamber during a compression stroke, comprising:

a. means for pressurizing and heating said liquid and

b. means for introducing the liquid into the compression chamber, and

c. means for determining the pressure and/or the temperature in the compression chamber; and

d. a control unit that is operatively connected with the means for determining the pressure and/or the temperature and with the means for pressurizing and heating the liquid, and including a computer program which is adapted for the purpose of controlling the means for the introduction of the liquid into the compression chamber upon basis of the information concerning the pressure and the temperature in the compression chamber and in accordance with the method according to Claim 2 (Column 2, Lines 50-68).

Art Unit: 3747

5. Claim 2-5, 10, 13-14, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Binion (US Patent 5,718,194).

6. In re Claims 2-5, 10, 12-14 and 18, Binion discloses a method of compressing a medium in the combustion chamber of a combustion engine, comprising introducing a liquid, in the state of a spray, into the compression chamber during a compression stroke, and the liquid is pressurized and heated before it is introduced into the compression chamber to such a degree that at least a part of the droplets of the spray explode spontaneously upon entrance in the compression chamber:

- a. the liquid being pressurized to such an extent that, at the moment of introduction, it has a steam pressure that is above the pressure that, at the moment of introduction, exists in the compression chamber (Column 9, Lines 3-23), and

- b. the liquid being heated to such an extent that, at the moment of introduction, it has a temperature that exceeds the boiling point of the liquid for the temperature and the pressure that, at the moment of introduction, exists in the compression chamber (Column 9, Lines 3-23), and

- c. the liquid being water, wherein the liquid is heated to such an extent that, at the moment of introduction it has a temperature that is below the temperature of the medium at the moment of introduction of the liquid (Column 9, Lines 3-23).

- d. the medium being air

7. In re Claim 12, Jepsen discloses a compressor with a system for controlling a device for the compression of a medium in the a compression chamber of the

Art Unit: 3747

compressor, by which a liquid, in the state of a spray, is introduced into the compression chamber during a compression stroke, comprising:

- a. means for pressurizing and heating said liquid and
- b. means for introducing the liquid into the compression chamber, and
- c. means for determining the pressure and/or the temperature in the compression chamber; and
- d. a control unit that is operatively connected with the means for determining the pressure and/or the temperature and with the means for pressurizing and heating the liquid, and including a computer program which is adapted for the purpose of controlling the means for the introduction of the liquid into the compression chamber upon basis of the information concerning the pressure and the temperature in the compression chamber and in accordance with the method according to Claim 2 (Column 9, Lines 3-23).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. Claims 8-9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jepsen in view of Posselt (US Patent 5,992,353).
- 9. In re Claim 8, Jepsen does not disclose the compression method as disclosed in the claim.
- 10. However, Posselt discloses a method wherein a mixture of the previously compressed medium and the vaporized liquid is evacuated after the compression, and

Art Unit: 3747

in that the liquid, after said evacuation, is separated by means of condensation (Column 3, Lines 53-55) in order to conserve water.

11. Thus it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the system disclosed by Jepsen with the condensation system disclosed by Posselt for conservation purposes.

12. In re Claim 9, Posselt discloses a method according to claim 8, characterized in that the liquid is refined from solid contamination and is re-transported to a suitable storing chamber (Column 3, Lines 53-58) in order to insure that solid contamination doesn't degrade the performance of the system.

13. Claims 8-9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Binion in view of Posselt (US Patent 5,992,353).

14. In re Claim 8, Binion does not disclose the compression method as disclosed in the claim.

15. However, Posselt discloses a method wherein a mixture of the previously compressed medium and the vaporized liquid is evacuated after the compression, and in that the liquid, after said evacuation, is separated by means of condensation (Column 3, Lines 53-55) in order to conserve water.

16. Thus it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the system disclosed by Binion with the condensation system disclosed by Posselt for conservation purposes.

17. In re Claim 9, Posselt discloses a method according to claim 8, characterized in that the liquid is refined from solid contamination and is re-transported to a suitable

Art Unit: 3747

storing chamber (Column 3, Lines 53-58) in order to insure that solid contamination doesn't degrade the performance of the system.

Response to Arguments

18. Applicant's arguments filed 04/21/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

a. With regards to the argument that Claim 2 claims a compressor and not an engine, the examiner points out that a compressor is only positively recited in the preamble of the claim. Thus, the recitation that "compression of a medium in a compression chamber of a compressor" has not been given patentable weight because it has been held that a preamble is denied the effect of a limitation where the claim is drawn to a structure and the portion of the claim following the preamble is a self-contained description of the structure not depending for completeness upon the introductory clause. ***Kropa v. Robie, 88 USPQ 478 (CCPA 1951).***

b. With regards to the argument that the prior art shows an engine and not a compressor, the examiner contends that the engine acts as a compressor of air and fuel during the compression stroke, thus reading on the term "compressor". Being that the disclosed engines can indeed be referred to as "compressors", the examiner notes that the distinctive structural differences between a "compressor" and an engine which have been set forth in the applicants arguments are not ***included in the claims, and thus do not differentiate the claimed structure***

from an engine, as can be seen in Claim 12, where the applicant merely cancels the term combustion engine and adds the term compressor, leaving the structure recited within the amended claim identical to the previously submitted claim. The examiner reminds the applicant that although claims are read *in light* of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims, and thus, since the operation of the piston and cylinder setups in the internal combustion engines disclosed by the prior art would be considered compressors, the rejection is upheld.

Conclusion

19. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3747

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SIZO B. VILAKAZI whose telephone number is (571)270-3926. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 10:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen K. Cronin can be reached on (571) 272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/SIZO B VILAKAZI/
Examiner, Art Unit 3747

/Stephen K. Cronin/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3747